Summary of the Mississippi State University Faculty Senate Spring Roundtable

Conducted on April 12, 2018

This report summarizes the results of the April 12, 2018 Faculty Senate Roundtable. Participants included members of the executive team, the general faculty, and faculty senate. This roundtable focused on communications issues, and ideas for improving communications. Context is provided through the roundtable's agenda, list of participants, and the course of action. The report reviews the roundtable's products by describing the results obtained by the two work groups, as developed by the participants in the two structured brainstorming sessions held that day.

FACULTY SENATE ROUNDTABLE AGENDA

April 12, 2018

- Welcome President Keenum
- Overview of Process/General Introduction Randy Follett
- Split up into teams
- Team Brainstorming Session #1 Communications Issues
 - o Examples of miscommunication (specific)
 - o Causes of miscommunication
 - o List of groups with whom we communicate
- Break
- Team Brainstorming Session #2 Ideas for Improving Communications
 - o Concerns when communicating with specific groups
 - Strategies for avoiding miscommunication (general or specific)
- Report and Discussion of Team Findings
- Wrap-Up President Keenum

FACULTY SENATE ROUNDTABLE

April 12, 2018

Maroon Team	
Kathleen Alley	Assistant Professor, Curriculum, Instruction, and Special Education
Greg Bohach	Vice President, Division of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Medicine
Alex Bostic	Associate Professor, Art
David Buys	Assistant Ext/Res. Professor, Food Science, Nutrition & Health Promotion
Rasheda Forbes	Assistant Vice President for Multicultural Affairs
Stacy Haynes	Associate Professor, Sociology
Mary Ann Jones	Associate Professor, Collection Management Services
Sharon Oswald	Dean, College of Business
John J. Ramirez-Avila	Assistant Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering
Sid Salter	Chief Communications Officer, Office of Public Affairs
Penny Wallin	Associate Professor, Meridian Division of Education
Byron Williams	Associate Professor, Computer Science and Engineering
Facilitator: Randy Follett	Associate Professor, Electrical and Computer Engineering

White Team	
Jimmy Avery	Extension Professor and Director, Delta Research and Extension Center
Judy Bonner	Provost and Executive Vice President
Cody Coyne	Professor, College of Veterinary Medicine, Basic Sciences
Dana Franz	Professor, Curriculum, Instruction, and Special Education
Laurie Grace	Professor, Forestry
James Hardin	Associate Professor, Anthropology
Jason Keith	Dean, Bagley College of Engineering
Joan Lucas	MSU General Counsel
Melissa Moore	Professor and Head, Marketing/Quantitative Analysis/Business Law
Raja Reddy	Research Professor, Plant and Soil Sciences
Amy Tuck	Vice President, Campus Services
Kevin Williams	Associate Professor, Communications
Facilitator: Brent Fountain	Associate Professor, Food Science, Nutrition & Health Promotion

Team Brainstorming Process

Participants share ideas to each of two questions and responses are recorded.

- 1. Duplicates and overlaps are identified and consolidated
- 2. Participants single or multi--vote on answers or responses
- 3. Results are then discussed and shared across groups.

Brainstorming Rules and Guidelines

Bad ideas do not exist

- A single idea is offered one at a time
- Participants may pass when they do not have an idea to offer
- Ideas are not judged during brainstorming period
- Open discussion with expectations of confidentiality, e.g. "Las Vegas rules"
- Aim for constructive, creative sharing

Question 1.1 Examples of Miscommunication Question 1.2 Causes of Miscommunication

Maroon*

Question 1.1 Examples of Miscommunication

Different stakeholders with different viewpoints Inconsistent communication from different faculty
Lack of detail in communication
Preconceived status

Assumption of superiority
Turf

Lack of transparency

Missing other perspectives

Lack of clear expectations

Lack of knowledge of subject

Lack of listening / assumed knowledge

White**

Question 1.1 Examples of Miscommunication & Question 1.2 Causes of Miscommunication

Difficulty between language and cultural practices
Communication taken out of context
Lake of complete communication
Differing expectations
Personal bias

Lack of translation Incorrect assumptions by the sender or receiver Delivering wrong message/content to the audience

Inappropriate delivery style/method

Different/too many types of communication
Distance/Time between sender and receiver
Lack of feedback
Stopping communication (intentional/
unintentional)
Lack of trust between sender and receiver
Presentation style/preparation
Individual or personal integrity
Lack of caution in delivery

Uninviting/unsupportive communication

Question 1.2 Causes of Miscommunication

^{*}Responses are based on Question 1.1 and 1.2 presented separately to the focus group.

^{**}Responses are based on the combination of Question 1.1 and 1.2 presented to focus group.

Question 1.3 List of groups with whom we communicate

Maroon

Students Colleagues

Community Stakeholders

Mentees Subordinates Business & Industry

White

Students
Producers
Legislature
Parents
Faculty
Staff

Administration

Donors Media

Tax Payers
Government Agencies

Peer Institutions

Recruits (students, faculty, preceptors, employers)

Alumni

Public

Funding agencies
Student organization

Current in information access

Industry

Peers internal to organization
Peers external to organization

Personal peer group vs.

"outsiders"

IHL

Advisory boards
Delivery methods
Local/ state partners

Question 2.1 Concerns when communicating with certain groups

Maroon

Consistency

Fear

Balance of standards and flexibility

Inclusivity

Repercussions of values communicated

Disregard of new ideas

White

Student-based concerns

Is it really that important?

Are we teaching the reason why? Are we reaching them the right way?

Proper utilization of social media platforms (e.g.

GroupMe)

University-based concerns

Matching delivery method with our audience

Avoiding communication overload Over or Under filtered communication Sending contradicting/conflicting messages

Competing priorities/agenda

Question 2.2 Strategies for avoiding miscommunication

Maroon

Evidenced based communication and decision making
Flexibility in expectations and responsibility
Avoid manipulation
Incentivizing, providing opportunities for cross-pollination
Listen

White

Build rapport
Respect your audience
Be personable
Be a good listener
Build Relationships
Prepare for your audience